



EASTERN NEW MEXICO UNIVERSITY

19 January 1973

PORTALES
88130

P. O. Box 2154

Department of Anthropology

George A. Agogino
Associate Professor of Anthropology
Chairman, Dept., and
Director, Paleo-Indian
Site and Museums

James Sublette
Associate Dean, Prof.
of Anthropology and Biology

Cynthia Irwin-Williams
Associate Prof., Anthropology

Robert Ann Bennett
Prof., Anthropology

Herbert L. Frost
Prof., Anthropology

Robert Bruce Groves
Prof., Anthropology

Mustav Kocsis
Prof., Anthropology

Robert O. Frost
Prof., Anthropology

Robert C. Pippin
Prof., Anthropology

Richard Egan
Associate Asst. Prof.
of Anthropology

Janjorie Lambert
Associate Prof.
of Anthropology

Archaeological and Museum Staff

Leonor Irwin: Res. Assoc.
Linda Warnica: Res. Assoc.
Dorothy Smith: Res. Assoc.

Indian Institute

Archaeology Division:

Archaeological Museum
Ethnological Anthro. Museum
Water Draw Museum

Anthropology Division:

Water Draw Project
Pecos Valley Program

Mr. Harold E. Malde
U.S. Geological Survey
Denver Federal Center
Denver, Colorado

Dear Hal,

Here is all the data on the Hueyatlaco that you requested on the possible correlations between our excavations and those of the Instituto Nacional de Antropologia e Historia: 1) an east-west section from the west end of Hueyatlaco; 2) the Hueyatlaco site plan; 3) a copy of the section of the large INAH pit furnished to me by their personnel in 1966; 4) my commentary on the relations between Hueyatlaco and the INAH large pit from the report that I submitted to them in 1966 (see pages 28-30 of the enclosed report, which is already a matter of record). The only relationship which really looked at all convincing in the field was that between our Unit I and INAH Unit VIII. Although I made very tentative suggestions about other possible relationships, they may well be illusory. I would not be too surprised at a relatively early date for these lower strata. The Units C and E which produced the late bifacially worked artifacts are steeply sloping small channel deposits, which may well not be traceable over a long distance and whose relation to the INAH units may remain somewhat obscure.

As I noted in my 1966 report (page 30), I think that it would be most useful to trench the area between the two excavations to better establish the key stratigraphic relationships. I will certainly be glad to assist in any way that I can.

Please keep me posted.

Yours sincerely,

Cynthia Irwin-Williams
Associate Professor

CIN:pbg
Encl